



Association of blood pressure lowering with incident dementia or cognitive impairment: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Title	Association of blood pressure lowering with incident dementia or cognitive impairment: A systematic review and meta-analysis
Author(s)	Hughes, Diarmaid; Judge, Conor; Murphy, Robert; Loughlin, Elaine; Costello, Maria; Whiteley, William; Bosch, Jackie; O'Donnell, Martin J.; Canavan, Michelle
Publication Date	2020-05-19
Publisher	American Medical Association (AMA)
Repository DOI	10.1001/jama.2020.4249

1 TITLE PAGE

2 Manuscript Title

3 Association of blood pressure lowering with incident dementia or cognitive impairment: a systematic review
4 and meta-analysis.

5 First Author's Surname

6 Hughes

7

8 Authors' names, academic degrees, and affiliations

9 Diarmaid Hughes, M.B., BEng. (1)

10 Conor Judge, M.B., BEng. (1) (2) (3)

11 Robert Murphy, M.B. (1)

12 Elaine Loughlin, M.B. (1)

13 Maria Costello, M.B. (1)

14 William Whiteley, Ph.D. (4)

15 Jackie Bosch, Ph.D. (5)

16 Martin J. O'Donnell, Ph.D. (1) (5)

17 Michelle Canavan, Ph.D. (1)

18

19 Author affiliations

20 (1) HRB-Clinical Research Facility, NUI Galway, Galway, Ireland

21 (2) Translational Medical Device Lab, NUI Galway, Galway, Ireland

22 (3) Wellcome Trust – HRB, Irish Clinical Academic Training, Dublin, Ireland

23 (4) Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland

24 (5) Population Health Research Institute, Hamilton, Canada

25

26 Name, and complete contact information for corresponding author

27 Michelle Canavan

28 michelle.canavan@hse.ie

29 0035391544860HRB Clinical Research Facility, Galway University Hospital, Newcastle Road, Galway,

30 Ireland, H91YR71

31

32 Manuscript Word Count (not including Title, Abstract, Acknowledgement, References, Tables, and Figure

33 Legends)

34 3150

35 Revision 1. Date: January 25, 2020; Revision 2. Date: February 22, 2020

36 Key Points

37 Question

38 Is there an association between blood pressure lowering with antihypertensive therapy and the incidence of
39 dementia or cognitive impairment?

40

41 Findings

42 In this meta-analysis that included 12 trials with 92 135 participants for the primary outcome measure, blood
43 pressure lowering with antihypertensive agents compared to control, was associated with the development of
44 a composite dementia or cognitive impairment outcome in 7.0% vs 7.5% of patients over a median follow-
45 up of 4.1 years, a difference that was statistically significant.

46

47 Meaning

48 Lowering blood pressure may be associated with a lower risk of dementia or cognitive impairment.

49 Abstract

50 Importance

51 The benefit of blood pressure lowering for the prevention of dementia or cognitive impairment is unclear.

52 Objective

53 To determine the association of blood pressure lowering with dementia or cognitive impairment.

54 Data Sources and Study Selection

55 Pubmed, Embase and CENTRAL were searched from database inception through December 31, 2019 for
56 randomised clinical trials evaluating the association of blood pressure lowering on cognitive outcomes. The
57 control groups consisted of either placebo, alternate antihypertensive agents or higher blood pressure targets.

58 Data Extraction and Synthesis

59 Data were screened and extracted independently by two authors. Random-effects meta-analysis models were
60 used to report pooled treatment effects and confidence intervals.

61 Main Outcomes and Measures

62 The primary outcome was dementia or cognitive impairment. The secondary outcomes were cognitive
63 decline and changes in cognitive testing scores. PROSPERO Registration Number CRD42019125088.

64 Results

65 Fourteen randomised clinical trials were eligible (96 158 participants), of which twelve reported the
66 incidence of dementia (or composite of dementia and cognitive impairment, 3 trials) on follow-up and were
67 included in the primary meta-analysis, eight reported cognitive decline, and eight reported changes in
68 cognitive test scores. The mean (Standard Deviation [SD]) age of trial participants was 69 (5.4) years; 40
69 617 (42.2%) were female and the mean baseline blood pressure was 154 (14.9) mmHg systolic and 83.3
70 (9.9) mmHg diastolic. Mean duration of follow-up was 49.2 months. Blood pressure lowering with
71 antihypertensive agents compared to control was significantly associated with a reduced risk of dementia or
72 cognitive impairment (n=12 trials) (7.0% in the intervention group vs 7.5% of patients in the control group
73 over a median of 4.1 years) (odds ratio [OR], 0.93; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.88 to 0.98, absolute risk

74 reduction, 0.39% [95% CI, 0.09%-0.68%]; $I^2=0.0\%$) and cognitive decline (n=8 trials) (20.2% in the
75 intervention group vs 21.1% of patients in the control over a median of 4.1 years) (OR, 0.93; 95% CI: 0.88-
76 0.99, absolute risk reduction, 0.71% [95% CI, 0.19%-1.2%]; $I^2=0.0\%$). Blood pressure lowering was not
77 significantly associated with a change in cognitive score testing.

78 **Conclusions and Relevance**

79 In a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials, blood pressure lowering with antihypertensive agents
80 compared to control was significantly associated with a lower risk of incident dementia or cognitive
81 impairment.

82 Abstract Word Count: 364

83 Introduction

84 Hypertension, especially in mid-life, is associated with dementia and cognitive impairment in later life (1–
85 4). Some randomised clinical trials have reported a lower risk of dementia with blood pressure lowering
86 treatment (5–7). However, previous meta-analyses of randomised clinical trials that have evaluated the
87 association of antihypertensive therapy with the risk of neurocognitive syndromes, in either primary or
88 secondary prevention populations, have been inconclusive (8–11). Two additional clinical trials have been
89 recently published (12,13). SPRINT MIND reported a lower risk of mild cognitive impairment in those
90 randomised to an intensive blood pressure target. Conversely, HOPE-3 reported no significant reduction in
91 the risk of cognitive impairment or dementia with combination antihypertensive therapy compared to
92 placebo. An updated meta-analysis was performed, given the addition of these recent large randomised
93 clinical trials, to determine whether blood pressure lowering was associated with a reduced risk of dementia
94 or cognitive impairment.

95

96 Methods

97 We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis which are reported according to the *Preferred*
98 *Reporting for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses* (PRISMA) guidelines (14). The protocol was
99 registered with PROSPERO (Registration Number CRD42019125088).

100 Data Sources and Searches

101 We developed the search strategy without language restriction for Pubmed, Embase and CENTRAL from
102 database inception to December 31, 2019. The search terms included *dementia, cognitive decline, cognitive*
103 *impairment, blood pressure, hypertension, anti-hypertensive* and *randomised controlled trials*. The search
104 strategy was peer-reviewed by a second information specialist. The full search strategy is included in the
105 supplementary appendix (eMethods 1). Three reviewers (DH, CJ and RM) independently screened titles and
106 abstracts. Full texts were sourced for relevant articles. Inclusion criteria were assessed independently, and
107 inconsistencies were resolved by consensus. The reference lists of included trials and other published meta-
108 analyses were also reviewed.

109 Eligibility Criteria

110 Trials were considered eligible if they: (1) were randomised clinical trials; (2) compared blood pressure
111 lowering with antihypertensive agents with a control; (3) had at least one year of follow-up; (4) included
112 over 1000 participants; and (5) provided information on any of the prespecified outcomes. Control was
113 defined as placebo, alternate antihypertensive agent or higher blood pressure target (Table 1). Trials were
114 required to report at least one of the following outcomes: dementia, cognitive impairment, cognitive decline,
115 or change in cognitive test scores (Table 1). Trials that specifically recruited participants with known
116 dementia or cognitive impairment at the start of the trial were excluded.

117 Data extraction

118 Data were extracted independently by two authors (DH and CJ) using a standardised data extraction form.
119 This was entered into a dedicated database and checked independently by RM, MC, EL and MC. We
120 extracted the following data: study characteristics, baseline demographics of participants, description of the
121 intervention, cumulative blood pressure changes, incidence of dementia and cognitive impairment, and

122 cognitive test scores. The cumulative blood pressure change (net change in systolic blood pressure from
123 baseline to longest follow-up between groups) was reported in 10 trials and the other trials reported the
124 difference between the systolic blood pressure of the groups at trial end. We reported outcomes at the point
125 of longest follow-up (15). Majority primary prevention populations were defined as those where greater than
126 50% of participants had no history of cardiovascular events. All others were considered majority secondary
127 prevention populations.

128 Outcomes

129 The primary outcome of this meta-analysis was dementia or cognitive impairment. For our primary analysis,
130 we used a hierarchical approach where we included trials that reported incident dementia, or a composite of
131 dementia or cognitive impairment (if dementia alone was not reported) on follow-up. Dementia was
132 criterion referenced in 7 trials, (International Classification of Diseases (ICD) criteria, the Diagnostic and
133 Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) criteria, or adjudicated panel), clinically based in two trials
134 and diagnosed using a composite in the remainder (Table 1). We chose this approach to maximise the
135 number of clinical trials included in our primary analysis. In addition, cognitive impairment and dementia
136 represent a continuum of the same neurocognitive syndrome and we expected blood pressure lowering using
137 antihypertensives to have a consistent association with both.

138 The secondary outcomes were cognitive decline and mean change in cognitive test scores. The definition of
139 cognitive decline varied among trials, and we used a definition of cognitive decline when the cognitive score
140 decreased by an absolute value within the study period (e.g. 3 points in MMSE), alone or combined with
141 below a cut-point in cognitive score. All studies reported a cognitive test score.

143 Risk of Bias Assessment

144 We used the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool (16) to assess methodological quality of eligible trials. Trials were
145 assessed on random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and health care
146 personnel, blinded outcome assessment, completeness of outcome data, evidence of selective reporting, and
147 other biases. Risk of bias assessments were performed independently by two reviewers (DH, RM), and

148 disagreements were resolved by a third reviewer (CJ). If two of the domains were rated as high, the study
149 was considered at high risk of bias. A risk of bias summary table was created in Review Manager 5.3.
150 Details are included in the Supplementary Appendix (eTable 1, eFigure 1 and eFigure 2).

151 Data synthesis and analysis

152 A descriptive analysis of each individual trial is reported in Table 1. Baseline, follow-up and mean
153 difference in blood pressure for each trial is reported in Table 2. For dichotomous outcomes (dementia,
154 cognitive impairment and cognitive decline), odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were
155 estimated for each trial. Weighted pooled treatment effects were calculated using Restricted maximum
156 likelihood (REML) estimation to fit a random effects meta-analysis model. The variability across studies
157 due to heterogeneity was investigated using forest plots and I^2 statistic. Publication bias was assessed using a
158 funnel plot (eFigure 3). For continuous outcomes (e.g. mini-mental state examination (MMSE)), the mean
159 change from baseline to follow-up was analysed. If this was not reported, the mean difference reported at
160 follow-up was used. 95% CIs were converted to the Standard Error using the formula, $SD = \sqrt{N * (Upper$
161 $Bound\ of\ Confidence\ Interval - Lower\ Bound\ of\ Confidence\ Interval) / 3.92}$ (17). Two trials had dual
162 treatment groups with a common control group (18,19). To prevent double counting and a unit of analysis
163 error, we split the common control group into two equal groups (17). The difference in MMSE change
164 between the intervention and control group was calculated unless the difference was specifically reported. In
165 addition, a pooled mean difference using a random-effects meta-analysis was calculated. A positive mean
166 difference implies that the intervention compared to the control had a smaller magnitude of decrease in
167 MMSE score between baseline and follow-up (i.e. reduced cognitive decline on testing). For additional
168 cognitive test scores, we calculated a pooled mean standardised difference (Cohen's d) using a random-
169 effects meta-analysis.

170 A priori sub-group sensitivity analyses were performed assessing pooled estimates for trials above and
171 below the median cumulative blood pressure change, above and below median years of follow-up, and a
172 product of both (mmHg years). We tested for an interaction between subgroup relative risks by dividing the
173 difference in log relative risk by its standard error (20). We completed meta-regression analyses to evaluate

174 the association of on-treatment effect estimates, including baseline mean systolic blood pressure, years of
175 follow-up, or cumulative systolic blood pressure change. Post hoc, absolute risk reductions (ARR) were
176 calculated for each study, the Mantel-Haenszel method was used to obtain a pooled estimate of the risk
177 difference and boot strapping was used to estimate the absolute risk reduction for trials reporting dementia
178 only. In addition, sensitivity analysis only including studies at low risk of bias was performed and fragility
179 index was calculated for the primary outcome. Statistical analyses were performed using the Metafor
180 package (21) on R Statistical Software (V3.5.3 “Great Truth”). Comparisons were 2-tailed using a $P \leq 0.05$
181 threshold for all analyses apart from subgroup interactions where we used a $P \leq 0.1$ threshold (22).

182 Results

183 The systematic search of articles published before December 31, 2019, identified 1543 records. Following
184 title and abstract screening, 163 were considered potentially relevant. Fourteen studies, available as 22
185 reports, were included after full text review (eFigure 4). Twelve studies reported the incidence of dementia
186 (n=9) or composite of dementia or cognitive impairment (n=3) on follow-up and were included in the
187 primary meta-analysis (5–7,12,13,23–28). Two studies were used for secondary outcomes only (19,29).

188 Study Characteristics

189 In total, 96 158 participants were enrolled, comprising 394 558 participant-years of follow-up. The mean
190 (SD) age of trial participants was 69 (5.4) years; 40 617 (42.2%) were female and the mean baseline blood
191 pressure was 154 (14.9) mmHg systolic and 83.3 (9.9) mmHg diastolic. The median (range) duration of
192 follow-up was 49.24 (26.4-68.4) months. Publication year ranged from 1994 to 2019 (Table 1). Nine trials
193 were in a majority primary prevention population (5,6,12,13,19,23,25,26,29), three trials were in a post-
194 stroke secondary prevention population (24,27,28), and two trials were in participants with cardiovascular
195 disease (18,30). Ten trials were placebo-controlled (5–7,13,19,23–27), three trials compared different blood
196 pressure targets (12,28,29) and one trial compared two anti-hypertensive agents, alone or in combination
197 (resulting in two comparisons, combination antihypertensive agents, and single new agent versus standard of
198 care) (18).

199 Risk of Bias

200 Risk of bias was assessed in all 14 trials (eTable 1, eFigure 1 and 2). The overall risk of bias was deemed
201 low in 11 trials, unclear in one trial, and high in two trials. The majority (n=13) of trials were double blinded
202 randomised clinical trials with pre-specified outcomes and one was single-blinded (19). Randomisation
203 sequence was adequately generated in 13 studies and 13 adequately concealed allocation. Reporting bias
204 was noted in one trial. There was no evidence of publication bias for the primary outcome (Egger test:
205 -0.53 ; $P = 0.61$).

206 Blood pressure lowering and dementia or cognitive impairment

207 Twelve trials reported dementia or cognitive impairment on follow-up (92 135 participants) (5–7,12,13,23–
208 28). Dementia or cognitive impairment was diagnosed in 2992 participants in the intervention group and
209 2558 participants in the control group. Blood pressure lowering with antihypertensive agents compared to
210 control was significantly associated with a reduction in dementia or cognitive impairment (7.0% in the
211 intervention group vs 7.5% of patients in the control over a median of 4.1 years) (OR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.88–
212 0.98; ARR 0.39% [95% CI, 0.09%–0.68%]) (Figure 1). Heterogeneity was low ($I^2=0.0\%$). For trials that
213 employed criterion-reference for diagnosis of dementia (7 trials, 41 719 participants), blood pressure
214 lowering was significantly associated with a reduction in dementia (OR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.78–0.97; ARR
215 0.20% [95% CI, 0.05%–0.70%]). Sensitivity analysis only including studies at low risk of bias did not
216 materially alter the findings (OR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.877–0.997) (eFigure 5). The fragility index for meta-
217 analysis of the primary outcome was 9 (31). Sensitivity analysis by cumulative change in blood pressure
218 (above and below median) showed an association with dementia or cognitive impairment for trials with
219 cumulative blood pressure change above the median (OR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.80–0.96) but P for interaction was
220 non-significant (P -interaction=0.13) and there was no significant association with dementia or cognitive
221 impairment for cumulative blood pressure change below the median (OR, 0.96; 95% CI, 0.90–1.03). (Figure
222 2, eFigure 6). Sensitivity analysis by baseline blood pressure above and below the median was also non-
223 significant for subgroup interaction (P -interaction=0.36) (Figure 2, eFigure 7). Meta-regression analysis
224 showed no significant association between age, baseline systolic blood pressure, cumulative systolic blood
225 pressure or years of follow-up and incidence of dementia or cognitive impairment (eFigure 8).

226 Blood pressure lowering and cognitive decline

227 Eight trials reported cognitive decline on follow-up (67 476 participants) (6,12,13,18,24,25,27,30).

228 Cognitive decline was reported in 5513 participants in the intervention group and 4468 participants in the
229 control group. Blood pressure lowering with antihypertensive agents compared to control was significantly
230 associated with a reduction in cognitive decline (20.2% in the intervention group vs 21.1% of patients in the
231 control over a median of 4.1 years) (OR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.88-0.99; ARR, 0.71%; 95% CI, 0.19%-1.2%)
232 (Figure 3). Heterogeneity was low ($I^2=36.1\%$). Sensitivity analysis by cumulative change in blood pressure
233 (above and below median) showed a significant association for cumulative blood pressure change above the
234 median (OR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.82-0.96) and non-significant association for cumulative blood pressure change
235 below the median (OR, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.92-1.05) (P for interaction = 0.07) (Figure 2, eFigure 9). Sensitivity
236 analysis by baseline blood pressure above and below the median reported no significant subgroup interaction
237 (P for interaction=0.74) (Figure 2, eFigure 10). Meta-regression analysis showed no significant association
238 between age, baseline systolic blood pressure, cumulative systolic blood pressure or years of follow-up and
239 cognitive decline (eFigure 11).

240 Blood pressure lowering and change in cognitive score

241 Eight trials reported a change in cognitive score as an outcome (5,6,13,19,24,25,28,29). Five trials reported
242 change in MMSE (5,6,24,25,29), two reported change in Trail Making Test (TMT) score (13,19) and one
243 reported change in Cognitive Abilities Screening Instrument (CASI) Z score (28). Three studies reported
244 baseline cognitive scores but not follow up scores and these data were insufficient to include in the meta-
245 analysis (7,27). Blood pressure lowering with antihypertensive agents compared to control was not
246 significantly associated with a difference in the standardised mean cognitive score (n=8) (0.25; 95% CI,-
247 0.10 to 0.61) (eFigure 12). The P for heterogeneity was $P<0.01$, $I^2=99.5\%$, $Q=853.24$. For trials reporting
248 change in MMSE, blood pressure lowering with antihypertensive agents compared to control was not
249 significantly associated with a difference in mean MMSE score (0.44; 95% CI, -0.22 to 1.10) (eFigure 13).
250 $I^2=98.5\%$, $Q=143.17$.

251 Discussion

252 This meta-analysis, including 12 trials with 92 135 participants, found that blood pressure lowering with
253 antihypertensive agents compared to control was significantly associated with a lower risk of dementia or
254 cognitive impairment.

255 This study builds on previous meta-analyses and includes the largest number of randomized clinical trials. A
256 pooled analysis, combining randomised clinical trials and observational studies in 2013, reported a similar
257 risk reduction with treatment of hypertension to this analysis, but no significant association in trials alone
258 (10). A meta-analysis by van Middelaar reported a similar, but non-significant, magnitude of association of
259 blood pressure lowering and included two trials evaluating multi-component lifestyle interventions, rather
260 than blood pressure lowering alone. Both these meta-analyses, and Cochrane reviews, were published before
261 the SPRINT MIND and HOPE-3 trials (11,32,33). The most recent meta-analysis, by Peters et al, which
262 included of the SPRINT MIND trial, reported an association of blood pressure lowering with reduced risk of
263 dementia (OR 0.93, 95% CI, 0.86-1.00), which included fewer trials than this meta-analysis (8 trials) due to
264 different selection criteria. In an analysis that selected trials with greater than 10 mmHg difference between
265 treatment groups, they reported an odds ratio of 0.88 (95% CI, 0.78-0.98) but did not report a P-interaction.
266 The approach taken in this study resulted in inclusion of larger numbers of clinical trials, reported a more
267 extensive panel of outcome measures (e.g. cognitive decline and mean change of cognitive test scores), and
268 completed a meta-regression for pre-selected variables. While the increased number of clinical trials resulted
269 in a statistically significant summary estimate, the upper bound of the confidence interval was close to 1.0,
270 which should prompt some caution in interpreting the findings as definitive evidence of an association of
271 blood pressure lowering with dementia or cognitive impairment.

272 While observational studies report hypertension to be an important risk factor for dementia (1,3,4,34), the
273 benefit of blood pressure lowering on dementia in clinical trials is modest (relative risk reduction [RR] 0.93,
274 95% CI; 0.86-1.00) (11), and lower than the risk reduction for stroke (5,6,19,23–25). The causes of
275 neurocognitive syndromes are more heterogenous than stroke, including Alzheimer's disease, and other
276 causes, and the population attributable fraction of hypertension for dementia is lower than that reported for

277 stroke, based on indirect comparison of studies (35,36). In addition, the association of hypertension with
278 neurocognitive syndromes, mediated through chronic covert vascular damage (ischemia, microhaemorrhage
279 or atrophy (37)) appears to have an extended time-lag between cause and clinical consequence, although
280 dementia may be a complication of acute stroke. Observational studies relating blood pressure to
281 neurocognitive outcomes have required follow-up periods exceeding 20 years. Therefore, large sample sizes,
282 with extended follow-up, are required to identify an effect of antihypertensive treatment on neurocognitive
283 outcomes. These considerations, may explain why most individual randomized clinical trials have failed to
284 demonstrate a treatment effect.

285 Epidemiologic studies have reported a stronger association of hypertension in mid-life with neurocognitive
286 outcomes in later life, than hypertension in later-life, where a null or inverse association has been reported in
287 some studies (38,39). These findings have led some investigators to speculate that populations included in
288 some blood pressure trials may have been in an age range that may not benefit from blood pressure lowering
289 to prevent cognitive outcomes. These meta-analyses would not fully support this contention, as baseline age
290 was not a determinate of treatment effect, and mean age of included trials was 69 years at baseline.

291 These findings have the potential to inform public health strategies to reduce the burden of dementia
292 globally. Effective screening and treatment of hypertension is essential for reducing premature dependence
293 from dementia. Although the lower risk associated with blood pressure treatment is modest for an
294 individual, the effect at a population level, given the incidence of dementia in an ageing population, may be
295 considerable. Rates of blood pressure control are low, even in high-income countries, but especially in
296 middle and low-income countries, which carry the largest burden of dementia (40). The World Health
297 Organisation's global action plan on the public health response to dementia recommend management of
298 hypertension in mid-life to reduce the risk of dementia, a recommendation supported by these results (41).

299 While there was a significant reduction of clinically important neurocognitive syndromes, there was no
300 significant difference in mean change in cognitive testing, contrasting from the clinical outcomes. This
301 finding supports the need for large simple trials with clinically important outcomes to evaluate preventative
302 interventions in populations (42). None of the included clinical trials reported dementia as their primary

303 outcome measure. When dementia was reported, it was as a secondary outcome with differences in outcome
304 definition. When the analyses were confined to clinical trials that reported criterion-referenced dementia, the
305 association of blood pressure lowering and dementia was most evident (Figure 1).

306 Limitations

307 This study has several limitations. First, the inherent challenges in performing, and interpreting, a meta-
308 analysis with heterogenous populations, interventions and definitions of the outcomes of dementia, cognitive
309 impairment and cognitive decline. Second, the low incidence of dementia in all clinical trials despite the
310 large number of participants reduced power to detect differences in treatment effect and limited exploration
311 of subgroups or meta-regression. Third, under-detection of dementia in clinical trials due to preferential loss
312 to follow-up of participants with dementia, and the potential effect of survival bias (where participants with
313 blood pressure reductions are more likely to be alive) are unmeasured sources of potential error.

314 Conclusion

315 In a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials, blood pressure lowering with antihypertensive agents
316 compared to control was significantly associated with a lower risk of incident dementia or cognitive
317 impairment.

320 **Contributors**

321 DH, CJ, MC, RM and EL were responsible for data collection. DH and CJ performed the analysis. All
322 authors contributed to data interpretation and critical revision of the report.

323 **Acknowledgments**

324 The corresponding author certifies that no other persons have made substantial contributions to the research
325 and/or manuscript. Dr Hughes and Dr Judge had full access to all the data in the study and take
326 responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Dr Hughes and Dr Judge
327 conducted and are responsible for the data analysis. Dr John Ferguson (HRB CRF Galway) contributed to
328 the updated analysis (boot strapping method for applying relative risk reduction to baseline risk of
329 dementia). Dr Hughes and Dr Judge take full responsibility as first authors.

330 **Sources of funding**

331 CJ was supported by the Irish Clinical Academic Training (ICAT) Programme, the Wellcome Trust and the
332 Health Research Board (grant number 203930/B/16/Z), the Health Service Executive, National Doctors
333 Training and Planning, and the Health and Social Care, Research and Development Division, Northern
334 Ireland. MOD was supported by the European Research Council (COSIP grant, 640580). The funding
335 source had no role in the design and conduct of the study; the collection, management, analysis, and
336 interpretation of the data; the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; or the decision to submit
337 the manuscript for publication.

338 **Disclosures**

339 All authors declare no competing interests.

340 **Affiliations**

341 The authors' affiliations are as follows: Health Research Board–Clinical Research Facility, Galway
342 University Hospital, National University of Ireland, Galway (DH, CJ, MC, EL, RM, MO'D, MC). Centre for
343 Clinical Brain Sciences, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland (WW). Population Health
344 Research Institute, Hamilton, Canada (JB).

- 346 1. Whitmer RA, Sidney S, Selby J, Johnston SC, Yaffe K. Midlife cardiovascular risk factors and risk of
347 dementia in late life. *Neurology*. 2005 Jan 25;64(2):277–81.
- 348 2. Norton S, Matthews FE, Barnes DE, Yaffe K, Brayne C. Potential for primary prevention of
349 Alzheimer’s disease: an analysis of population-based data. *Lancet Neurol*. 2014 Aug;13(8):788–94.
- 350 3. Launer LJ, Ross GW, Petrovitch H, Masaki K, Foley D, White LR, et al. Midlife blood pressure and
351 dementia: the Honolulu-Asia aging study. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2000 Feb;21(1):49–55.
- 352 4. Skoog I, Lernfelt B, Landahl S, Palmertz B, Andreasson LA, Nilsson L, et al. 15-year longitudinal
353 study of blood pressure and dementia. *Lancet Lond Engl*. 1996 Apr 27;347(9009):1141–5.
- 354 5. Forette F, Seux M-L, Staessen JA, Thijs L, Babarskiene M-R, Babeanu S, et al. The prevention of
355 dementia with antihypertensive treatment: new evidence from the Systolic Hypertension in Europe
356 (Syst-Eur) study. *Arch Intern Med*. 2002 Oct 14;162(18):2046–52.
- 357 6. Peters R, Beckett N, Forette F, Tuomilehto J, Clarke R, Ritchie C, et al. Incident dementia and blood
358 pressure lowering in the Hypertension in the Very Elderly Trial cognitive function assessment
359 (HYVET-COG): a double-blind, placebo controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol*. 2008 Aug;7(8):683–9.
- 360 7. Anderson C, Teo K, Gao P, Arima H, Dans A, Unger T, et al. Renin-angiotensin system blockade and
361 cognitive function in patients at high risk of cardiovascular disease: analysis of data from the
362 ONTARGET and TRANSCEND studies. *Lancet Neurol*. 2011 Jan;10(1):43–53.
- 363 8. Zonneveld TP, Richard E, Vergouwen MD, Nederkoorn PJ, de Haan R, Roos YB, et al. Blood
364 pressure-lowering treatment for preventing recurrent stroke, major vascular events, and dementia in
365 patients with a history of stroke or transient ischaemic attack. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018 Jul
366 19;7:CD007858.
- 367 9. McGuinness B, Todd S, Passmore P, Bullock R. Blood pressure lowering in patients without prior
368 cerebrovascular disease for prevention of cognitive impairment and dementia. *Cochrane Database Syst*
369 *Rev*. 2009 Oct 7;(4):CD004034.
- 370 10. Levi Marpillat N, Macquin-Mavier I, Tropeano A-I, Bachoud-Levi A-C, Maison P. Antihypertensive
371 classes, cognitive decline and incidence of dementia: a network meta-analysis. *J Hypertens*. 2013
372 Jun;31(6):1073.
- 373 11. Peters R, Warwick J, Anstey KJ, Anderson CS. Blood pressure and dementia: What the SPRINT-
374 MIND trial adds and what we still need to know. *Neurology*. 2019 May 21;92(21):1017–8.
- 375 12. SPRINT MIND Investigators for the SPRINT Research Group, Williamson JD, Pajewski NM, Auchus
376 AP, Bryan RN, Chelune G, et al. Effect of Intensive vs Standard Blood Pressure Control on Probable
377 Dementia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2019 12;321(6):553–61.
- 378 13. Bosch J, O’Donnell M, Swaminathan B, Lonn EM, Sharma M, Dagenais G, et al. Effects of blood
379 pressure and lipid lowering on cognition: Results from the HOPE-3 study. *Neurology*. 2019 Mar
380 26;92(13):e1435–46.
- 381 14. Moher D. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA
382 Statement. *Ann Intern Med*. 2009 Aug 18;151(4):264.
- 383 15. Tendal B, Nuesch E, Higgins JPT, Juni P, Gotzsche PC. Multiplicity of data in trial reports and the
384 reliability of meta-analyses: empirical study. *BMJ*. 2011 Aug 30;343(aug30 1):d4829–d4829.

- 385 16. Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, Juni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The Cochrane
386 Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2011 Oct 18;343(oct18
387 2):d5928–d5928.
- 388 17. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]
389 [Internet]. The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011 [Accessed 2019 Sep 5]. Available from:
390 www.handbook.cochrane.org
- 391 18. Telmisartan, Ramipril, or Both in Patients at High Risk for Vascular Events. *N Engl J Med*. 2008 Apr
392 10;358(15):1547–59.
- 393 19. Prince MJ, Bird AS, Blizzard RA, Mann AH. Is the cognitive function of older patients affected by
394 antihypertensive treatment? Results from 54 months of the Medical Research Council's trial of
395 hypertension in older adults. *BMJ*. 1996 Mar 30;312(7034):801–5.
- 396 20. Altman DG. Statistics Notes: Interaction revisited: the difference between two estimates. *BMJ*. 2003
397 Jan 25;326(7382):219–219.
- 398 21. Viechtbauer W. Conducting Meta-Analyses in R with the **metafor** Package. *J Stat Softw* [Internet].
399 2010 [Accessed 2018 May 13];36(3). Available from: <http://www.jstatsoft.org/v36/i03/>
- 400 22. Sun X, Briel M, Walter SD, Guyatt GH. Is a subgroup effect believable? Updating criteria to evaluate
401 the credibility of subgroup analyses. *BMJ*. 2010 Mar 30;340(mar30 3):c117–c117.
- 402 23. Prevention of stroke by antihypertensive drug treatment in older persons with isolated systolic
403 hypertension. Final results of the Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program (SHEP). SHEP
404 Cooperative Research Group. *JAMA*. 1991 Jun 26;265(24):3255–64.
- 405 24. Tzourio C, Anderson C, Chapman N, Woodward M, Neal B, MacMahon S, et al. Effects of blood
406 pressure lowering with perindopril and indapamide therapy on dementia and cognitive decline in
407 patients with cerebrovascular disease. *Arch Intern Med*. 2003 May 12;163(9):1069–75.
- 408 25. Lithell H, Hansson L, Skoog I, Elmfeldt D, Hofman A, Olofsson B, et al. The Study on Cognition and
409 Prognosis in the Elderly (SCOPE): principal results of a randomized double-blind intervention trial. *J*
410 *Hypertens*. 2003 May;21(5):875–86.
- 411 26. Patel A. Effects of a fixed combination of perindopril and indapamide on macrovascular and
412 microvascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (the ADVANCE trial): a randomised
413 controlled trial. *The Lancet*. 2007 Sep;370(9590):829–40.
- 414 27. Diener H-C, Sacco RL, Yusuf S, Cotton D, Ôunpuu S, Lawton WA, et al. Effects of aspirin plus
415 extended-release dipyridamole versus clopidogrel and telmisartan on disability and cognitive function
416 after recurrent stroke in patients with ischaemic stroke in the Prevention Regimen for Effectively
417 Avoiding Second Strokes (PROFESS) trial: a double-blind, active and placebo-controlled study. *Lancet*
418 *Neurol*. 2008 Oct;7(10):875–84.
- 419 28. Pearce LA, McClure LA, Anderson DC, Jacova C, Sharma M, Hart RG, et al. Effects of long-term
420 blood pressure lowering and dual antiplatelet treatment on cognitive function in patients with recent
421 lacunar stroke: a secondary analysis from the SPS3 randomised trial. *Lancet Neurol*. 2014
422 Dec;13(12):1177–85.
- 423 29. Williamson JD, Launer LJ, Bryan RN, Coker LH, Lazar RM, Gerstein HC, et al. Cognitive Function
424 and Brain Structure in Persons With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus After Intensive Lowering of Blood
425 Pressure and Lipid Levels: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2014 Mar 1;174(3):324.

- 426 30. Effects of the angiotensin-receptor blocker telmisartan on cardiovascular events in high-risk patients
427 intolerant to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors: a randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*. 2008
428 Sep;372(9644):1174–83.
- 429 31. Atal I, Porcher R, Boutron I, Ravaud P. The statistical significance of meta-analyses is frequently
430 fragile: definition of a fragility index for meta-analyses. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2019 Jul;111:32–40.
- 431 32. McGuinness B, Todd S, Passmore P, Bullock R. Blood pressure lowering in patients without prior
432 cerebrovascular disease for prevention of cognitive impairment and dementia. *Cochrane Database Syst*
433 *Rev*. 2009 Oct 7;(4):CD004034.
- 434 33. van Middelaar T, van Vught LA, van Gool WA, Simons EMF, van den Born B-JH, Moll van Charante
435 EP, et al. Blood pressure-lowering interventions to prevent dementia: a systematic review and meta-
436 analysis. *J Hypertens*. 2018 Sep;36(9):1780–7.
- 437 34. Potential for primary prevention of Alzheimer’s disease: an analysis of population-based data. -
438 PubMed - NCBI [Internet]. [cited 2019 May 6]. Available from:
439 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25030513>
- 440 35. Mukadam N, Sommerlad A, Huntley J, Livingston G. Population attributable fractions for risk factors
441 for dementia in low-income and middle-income countries: an analysis using cross-sectional survey
442 data. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2019 May;7(5):e596–603.
- 443 36. Park TH, Ko Y, Lee SJ, Lee KB, Lee J, Han M-K, et al. Identifying Target Risk Factors Using
444 Population Attributable Risks of Ischemic Stroke by Age and Sex. *J Stroke*. 2015 Sep 30;17(3):302–
445 11.
- 446 37. SPRINT MIND Investigators for the SPRINT Research Group, Nasrallah IM, Pajewski NM, Auchus
447 AP, Chelune G, Cheung AK, et al. Association of Intensive vs Standard Blood Pressure Control With
448 Cerebral White Matter Lesions. *JAMA*. 2019 13;322(6):524–34.
- 449 38. Abell JG, Kivimäki M, Dugravot A, Tabak AG, Fayosse A, Shipley M, et al. Association between
450 systolic blood pressure and dementia in the Whitehall II cohort study: role of age, duration, and
451 threshold used to define hypertension. *Eur Heart J*. 2018 Sep 1;39(33):3119–25.
- 452 39. Posner HB, Tang M-X, Luchsinger J, Lantigua R, Stern Y, Mayeux R. The relationship of
453 hypertension in the elderly to AD, vascular dementia, and cognitive function. *Neurology*. 2002 Apr
454 23;58(8):1175–81.
- 455 40. Mills KT, Bundy JD, Kelly TN, Reed JE, Kearney PM, Reynolds K, et al. Global Disparities of
456 Hypertension Prevalence and Control: A Systematic Analysis of Population-Based Studies From 90
457 Countries. *Circulation*. 2016 Aug 9;134(6):441–50.
- 458 41. WHO global plan on dementia | Alzheimer’s Disease International [Internet]. 2019 [Accessed 2019
459 May 26]. Available from: <https://www.alz.co.uk/dementia-plans/global-plan>
- 460 42. Whiteley WN, Anand S, Bangdiwala SI, Bosch J, Canavan M, Chertkow H, et al. Are large simple
461 trials for dementia prevention possible? *Age Ageing* [Internet]. 2019 Dec 12 [cited 2020 Feb 18];
462 Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/ageing/advance-article/doi/10.1093/ageing/afz152/5673749>

463

464

465 Figures

466 Figure 1 – Blood Pressure Lowering and Dementia or Cognitive Impairment

467

468

469 Figure 1 - Forest plot showing the association of blood pressure lowering and dementia or cognitive
470 impairment. The squares and bars represent the mean values and 95% confidence intervals of the effect
471 sizes, while the area of the squares reflects the weight of the studies. The combined effects appear as
472 diamonds and the vertical dashed line represents the line of no association. * Composite of dementia and
473 cognitive impairment (Table 1). BP-Blood Pressure, RE-Random Effect, CI-Confidence Interval

474

475 Figure 2 – Blood Pressure Lowering and Dementia or Cognitive Impairment/Cognitive Decline by
476 Cumulative Systolic Blood Pressure Change and Baseline Systolic Blood Pressure

477

478

479

480 Figure 2 - Forest plot showing the association of blood pressure lowering on dementia or cognitive
481 impairment and cognitive decline by cumulative systolic blood pressure change and baseline systolic blood
482 pressure. The squares and bars represent the mean values and 95% confidence intervals of the effect sizes,
483 while the area of the squares reflects the weight of the studies. The combined effects appear as diamonds
484 and the vertical dashed line represents the line of no association. BP-Blood Pressure, RR-Risk Ratio, CI-
485 Confidence Interval.

486

487 Figure 3 – Blood Pressure Lowering and Cognitive Decline

488

489

490 Figure 3 - Forest plot showing the association of blood pressure lowering and cognitive decline. The squares
491 and bars represent the mean values and 95% confidence intervals of the effect sizes, while the area of the
492 squares reflects the weight of the studies. The combined effects appear as diamonds and the vertical dashed
493 line represents the line of no association. * Composite of dementia and cognitive impairment (Table 1). BP-
494 Blood Pressure, RE-Random Effect, CI-Confidence Interval.

Trial	No. Participants	Trial design	Study Population	Prevention	Intervention	Control	Follow up (mths)	Testing	Baseline Cognitive Scores Intervention (SD or IQR)	Baseline Cognitive Scores Control (SD or IQR)	Primary Outcome (Dementia or Cognitive Impairment)	Secondary Outcome (Cognitive decline)	Secondary Outcome (Cognitive score)
Dementia (Criterion-referenced)													
SHEP, 1994	4736	Randomized, double-blind, placebo control	Age >60; SBP 160-219mmHg and DBP<90mmHg	MPP	Diuretic +/- Beta blocker	Placebo	60	Short-Care	0.37 (0.65)	0.38 (0.69)	Adjudicated panel	Not reported	Not reported
PROGRESS, 2001	6105	Randomized, double-blind, placebo control	Stroke / TIA in preceding 5 years	SP	ACEi +/- Diuretic	Placebo	46.8	MMSE	29 (27-30)	29 (27-30)	DSM-IV criteria	Decrease in MMSE of ≥ 3	Change in MMS
Syst-Eur, 2002	2902	Open label extended follow-up of randomized trial	Age >60; SBP 160-219mmHg and DBP <95mmHg	MPP	CCB +/- ACEi +/- Diuretic	Placebo	46.8	MMSE	29 (27-30)	29 (27-30)	DSM-III-R criteria	Not reported	Change in MMS
SCOPE, 2003	4937	Randomized, double-blind, placebo control	Age 70-89; SBP 160-179mmHg and/or DBP 90-99mmHg	MPP	ARB +/- Diuretic	Placebo	44.6	MMSE	28.5 (1.6)	28.5 (1.5)	ICD-10 criteria	Decrease in MMSE ≥ 4	Change in MMS
HYVET-COG, 2008	3336	Randomized, double-blind, placebo control	Age >80; Sitting SBP 160-200mmHg and DBP <110mmHg	MPP	Diuretic +/- ACEi	Placebo	26.4	MMSE	26 (15-30)	26 (15-30)	DSM-IV criteria	Decrease in MMSE ≥ 3 or MMSE ≤ 24	Change in MMS

ADVANCE, 2009	11 140	Randomized, double-blind, placebo control (2x2 factorial design)	Age ≥55; Diagnosis of Type II DM at age ≥30 with history/risk factor for CVD	MPP	ACEi and Diuretic	Placebo	51.6	MMSE	29 (28-30)	29 (28-30)	DSM-IV criteria	Not reported	Not reported
SPRINT MIND, 2019	8563	Randomized, open label trial	Age ≥50; SBP between 130 - 180mmHg	MPP	SBP <120mmHg	SBP <140mmHg	61.2	MoCA DSCT LMFII	23 (20-26) 51 (41-60) 8 (6-11)	23 (20-25) 51 (41-61) 8 (6-11)	Adjudicated panel	MCI by adjudicated panel	Not reported
<u>Dementia (Clinical-based)</u>													
PRoFESS, 2008	17 270	Randomized, double-blind, placebo control (2x2 factorial design)	Participants with ischaemic stroke in previous 90 days	SP	ARB	Placebo	30	MMSE	28 (26-30)	28 (26-30)	Investigator reported	Two outcomes reported: 1. Decrease in MMSE ≥3; 2. MMSE ≤24	Not reported
HOPE-3, 2019	1626	Randomized, double-blind, placebo control (2x2 factorial design)	Age ≥70 with CVD risk	MPP	ARB +/- Diuretic	Placebo	68.4	mMoCA TMT-B DSST	10.8 (1.7) 150.6 (90.7) 32.8 (18.3)	10.7 (1.8) 152.8 (87.3) 32.6 (18.3)	Investigator reported	Decrease of ≥2 points mMoCA, ≥10% on TMT-B and ≥5 points DSST	Change in mMoCA
<u>Dementia and Cognitive Impairment (Composite)</u>													
TRANSCEND, 2011	5383	Randomized, double-blind, placebo control	Participants who were ACEi intolerant with CVD / stroke or diabetes	MSP	ARB	Placebo	56	MMSE	29 (27-30)	29 (27-30)	Investigator reported, specialist confirmed or MMSE ≤23	Decrease in MMSE ≥3	Not reported

ON-TARGET, 2011	23 469	Randomized, double-blind, placebo control	Participants with CVD / stroke or diabetes	MSP	ACEi & ARB or ARB	ACEi	56	MMSE	29 (27-30)	29 (27-30)	Investigator reported, specialist confirmed or MMSE ≤ 23	Decrease in MMSE ≥ 3	Not reported
SPS3, 2014	2668	Randomized, open label (2x2 factorial design)	Lacunar Stroke within 6 months (confirmed on MRI)	SP	SBP <130mmHg	SBP 130-149mmHg	36	CASI Z score	-0.63 (1.47)	-0.56 (1.39)	MCI by cognitive score	MCI by cognitive score	Change in CASI score
Change in cognitive score only													
MRC-Diuretic, 1996	2584	Randomized, single-blind	Age 65-74; SBP 160-209mmHg and DBP <115mmHg	MPP	Diuretic or Beta Blocker	Placebo	54	PALT	17.0 (16.9-17.1)	17.0 (16.9-17.1)	Not reported	Not reported	Change in TMT
MRC-BB, 1996								TMT	59.9 (57.7-62.1)	61 (59.3-62.8)			
								PALT	17.0 (16.8-17.1)	17.0 (16.9-17.1)			
								TMT	59.5 (57.7-62.0)	61 (59.3-62.8)			
ACCORD-MIND, 2014	1439	Randomized, open label (2x2 factorial design)	Age ≥ 55 ; SBP 130-180mmHg Participants with Type II DM	MPP	SBP <120mmHg	SBP <140mmHg	40	DSST	52.28 (15.7)	52.28 (15.7)	Not reported	Not reported	Change in MMS
								MMSE	27.25 (26-29)	27.25 (26-29)			

497

498

499

500

501

Abbreviations: INT, Intervention; mnths, months; SBP, Systolic blood pressure; DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; TIA, Transient ischaemic attack; CVD, Cardiovascular disease; Type II DM, Type II Diabetes Mellitus; MRI, Magnetic Resonance Imaging; MPP, Majority Primary Prevention; SP, Secondary Prevention; MSP, Majority Secondary Prevention; BB, Beta Blocker; ACEi, ACE inhibitor; CCB, Calcium Channel Blocker; ARB, Angiotensin II receptor blockers; SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range; PALT, Paired Associate Learning Test; TMT, Trail making test; MMSE, Mini-Mental State

502 Examination; DSST, Digit Symbol Substitution Test; CASI, Cognitive Abilities Screening Instrument; MoCA, Montreal cognitive assessment; DSCT, Digit
503 Symbol Coding Test; LMF II, Logical Memory form II; mMoCA, modified 12-item Montreal Cognitive Assessment; TMT-B, Trail Making Test Part B; DSM,
504 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; ICD – WHO International Classification of Diseases; MCI, Mild Cognitive Impairment

505

506 Table 2 – Participant Characteristics

507

508

Trial	Country	Age at entry (SD or IQR), y	Female Participants No., (%)	Intervention	Intervention	Intervention	Control	Control	Control	Difference
				Baseline BP mean (SD), mmHg	Follow-up BP mean (SD), mmHg	Difference BP mean (SD), mmHg	Baseline BP mean (SD), mmHg	Follow-up BP mean (SD), mmHg	Difference BP mean (SD), mmHg	In BP Difference
Dementia (Criterion-referenced)										
SHEP, 1994	United States	72 (6.7)	2700 (57)	170.5 (9.5)	144.0 (19.3)	NR	170.1 (9.2)	155.1 (20.9)	NR	-11.1
				76.7 (9.6)	67.7 (10.2)	NR	76.4 (9.8)	71.1 (12.8)	NR	-3.4
PROGRESS, 2001	Asia, Australasia, United Kingdom and Europe	64 (10)	1831 (30)	147 (19)	NR	NR	147 (19)	NR	NR	-9
				86 (11)	NR	NR	86 (11)	NR	NR	-4
Syst-Eur, 2002	Europe	68 (60-92)	1918 (66)	173.8 (9.9) 85.5 (5.8)	149.1 (9.7) 79.4 (6.1)	23 (16) 7 (8)	173.9 (10.1) 85.5 (5.9)	156.1 (12) 82.5 (6)	13(17) 2(8)	-7 -3.2
SCOPE, 2003	Europe, United Kingdom, United States	76.4	3177 (65)	166 (8.9)	145.2 (16.1)	NR	166.5 (9.0)	148.5 (16.8)	NR	-3.2
				90.3 (6.6)	79.9 (8.7)	NR	90.4 (6.6)	81.6 (8.8)	NR	-1.6
HYVET-COG, 2008	Europe, China, Tunisia, southeast Asia, and Australia	83.5 (3.1)	2017 (61)	173.0 (8.4)	143.4 (NR)	29.6 (15.3)	173.0 (8.6)	155.4 (NR)	14.6(18.5)	-15
				90.8 (8.5)	77.7 (NR)	13.1 (9.6)	90.8 (8.5)	83.6 (NR)	7.2 (10.5)	-5.9
		67 (6)	4735 (43)	145 (NR)	136 (NR)	NR	145 (NR)	140 (NR)	NR	-5.6

ADVANCE, 2009	Asia, Australasia, Europe, and North America.			81 (NR)	73 (NR)	NR	81 (NR)	73 (NR)	NR	-2.2
SPRINT MIND, 2019	United States	67.9 (9.4)	3332 (35.5)	139.7 (15.8)	121.6 (120.8-122.3)	NR	139.7 (15.4)	134.8 (134.1-135.6)	NR	-13.3
				78.2 (11.9)	NR	NR	78.0 (12.0)	NR	NR	NR
<u>Dementia (Clinical-based)</u>										
PRoFESS, 2008	35 countries worldwide	66.1 (8.6)	7310 (36)	144 (17) 84 (11)	135.7 (NR) NR	8.3 NR	144 (17) 84 (11)	141.1 (NR) NR	2.9 NR	-5.4 NR
HOPE-3, 2019	21 countries worldwide	74 (3.5)	963 (59.2)	139.7 (15.0) 79.4 (9.6)	NR NR	NR NR	139.7 (15.0) 79.4 (9.6)	NR NR	NR NR	-6 NR
<u>Dementia and Cognitive Impairment (Composite)</u>										
TRANSCEND, 2011	40 countries worldwide	67 (7.3)	2547 (43)	140.7 (16.8) 81.8 (10.1)	NR NR	NR NR	141.3 (16.4) 82.0 (10.2)	NR NR	NR NR	-4 -2.2
ON-TARGET (Dual)	40 countries worldwide	66 (7.2)	6831 (27)	141.9 (17.6) 82.1 (10.4)	NR NR	NR NR	141.8 (17.4) 82.1 (10.4)	NR NR	NR NR	-2.4 -1.4
ON-TARGET (ARB), 2011				141.7 (17.2) 82.1 (10.4)	NR NR	NR NR	141.8 (17.5) 82.1 (10.5)	NR NR	NR NR	-0.9 -0.6
SPS3, 2014		63 (11)	1088 (37)	144 (19)	127 (2.97)	NR	142 (19)	137 (3.4)	NR	-11

	North America, Latin America, and Spain		79 (11)		NR	NR	78 (10)	NR	NR	NR
Change in cognitive score only										
MRC-Diuretic, 1996	United Kingdom	70	1498 (58)	184.9 (183.9-185.9)	NR	NR	183.5 (182.8-184.2)	NR	NR	-17.1
				90.3 (89.4-91.2)	NR	NR	90.5 (89.9 to 91.2)	NR	NR	NR
MRC-BB, 1996				184.2 (183.2-185.2)	NR	NR	183.5 (182.8-184.2)	NR	NR	-14.5
				90.7 (89.9-91.6)	NR	NR	90.5 (89.9 to 91.2)	NR	NR	NR
ACCORD-MIND, 2014	North America	62 (5.8)	670 (46.6)	138.8 (17.0)	119 (14.7)	NR	139.2 (15.7)	133.2 (14.8)	NR	-13.8
				76.0 (10.4)	64 (10.1)	NR	76.3 (10.3)	70.2 (9.9)	NR	-5.9

509

510 Abbreviations: SBP, Systolic blood pressure; DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range; NR, not reported.

511